Removal of Binary mixture of textile dyes on Prosopis juliflora pods – Equilibrium, Kinetics and Thermodynamics studies
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ABSTRACT

Prosopis juliflora pods is a low cost material obtained from semi-arid region, was evaluated as adsorbent for the removal of binary textile dye mixture (Gold HE-R and Green HE-4BD). The effect of pH, concentration of dyes, adsorbent dose and contact time were obtained in batch adsorption technique. The results were analyzed by isotherm models (Freundlich, Langmuir, Redlich-Peterson and Tempkin). The results were in good agreement with Langmuir model and the Redlich-Peterson isotherm models. Langmuir monolayer adsorption quantity was found to be, 50.0 mg g⁻¹ and 62.5 mg g⁻¹ for Gold HE-R and Green HE-4BD, respectively. Pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, intra particle diffusion and Tempkin kinetic models were used to fit the experimental data, it was well fitted into pseudo second order kinetics. Thermodynamic parameters such ΔH°, ΔS° and ΔG° were calculated, which shows the adsorption process is exothermic, decreasing randomness of solute and negative free energy reveals the feasibility of the reaction. Energy of activation also predicted using Arrhenius equation, which was -16.569 and -20.710 kJ mol⁻¹ for GHER and GHE4BD, respectively. FT-IR and SEM analysis were also indicates the adsorption pattern effectively.


INTRODUCTION

Water is essential in an ecosystem, but it has been polluted through rapid industrialization, which cannot be avoidable, due to increasing needs of human population and their consumption, as well as waste generation. Upon several kinds of water pollution, the color in water is not desirable on any means. Especially effluent from the dye manufacturing industry, textile industry, pulp industry and paper industry are highly colored [1]. During the dyeing operation a significant amount of dyes remain unfixed on the fabric and they are directly discharged into the water bodies in South Asian countries [2]. The dye effluents are considered to be highly toxic to the aquatic species and it disturbs the natural equilibrium by reducing photosynthetic activity [3]. Therefore, the treatment of colored effluent from the dyeing industries is necessary. Many chemical and physical methods have been applied to color removal process, among which adsorption is being a successful method for their simplicity and economic aspects.

Activated carbon is being the best adsorbent, but it is costly. Along with this, many other adsorbents were tested for color removal from the water such as, bagasse [4-6], corn stalk [7], peanut hulls [8], natural coagulant [9], palm fruit bunch [10], rattan sawdust [11], orange peel carbon [12], agricultural waste [13, 14] and acid modified clay [15]. In recent years, the interest of research is towards the production of low cost adsorbent. Consequently, a number of low cost and easily available materials, such as biomass, are being studied for the removal of different dyes and heavy metals [16] from aqueous solution at different operating conditions [17]. Also, the complexity increases as competitive adsorption between the dyes on adsorbent, if the dye is binary [18, 19], ternary mixtures. Prosopis juliflora pod (Figure 1) is a fruit of a waste shrub Prosopis juliflora (Velikathan in Tamil). It is widely available in waste land, arid and semi-arid region, as it grows faster even in a dry conditions in semi-arid region. It is used as Feed for livestock in rural areas. In this paper we tried to find out the potential of Prosopis juliflora pods, as adsorbent for effective removal of a binary mixture of reactive anionic...
dyes (Gold HE-R and Green HE-4BD). The adsorption studies were carried out using effect of pH, adsorbent dose, initial dye concentration and contact time. The experimental results were fitted and analyzed by four kinetic models, four equilibrium models and by thermodynamic studies.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Preparation of Binary dye**
The reactive dyes Gold HE-R (GHER) [C.I. Reactive Yellow-84, λmax : 405 nm] and Reactive Green HE-4BD (GHE4BD) [C.I. Reactive Green-19, λmax : 630 nm] are received from cotton dyeing industry, Thiruvallur District, Tamil Nadu. It was used as received without further purification. A stock solution of GHER and GHE4BD each 500 mg L\(^{-1}\) was prepared then suitably diluted and mixed to the required initial concentration.

**Adsorbent preparation**
The *Prosopis juliflora* pods (PJP) was collected from waste land in Thiruvallur District, Tamil Nadu. It was washed several times with distilled water and left to dry in sun light, then it was cut into smaller pieces and soaked into Sodium hydroxide solution \([20]\) for five days to remove coloring matters in PJ (which may interact with dye solution under study) and then washed with distilled water, dried in sun light and then in hot air oven at 104±2 °C. A portion of a dried sample was taken in a china dish, covered with thin aluminium sheet and carbonized. The carbonization was carried out in muffle furnace for one hour at 200 °C. It was ground well and the portion between 0 and 100 µm sieves was stored in desiccators and used for the adsorption experiments.

**Method of Equilibrium Studies**
The 50 mL of dye mixtures of concentration between 25–150 mg L\(^{-1}\) was shaken at the constant agitation speed (150 rpm) in orbital incubator shaker (Remi Elektrotechnik Limited) with adsorbent dosage between 0.4–2 g L\(^{-1}\) were carried out at the temperature (30 ± 1°C) using batch adsorption technique for a specific period of contact time (300 min) in an orbital shaker, after noting down the initial pH of the solution. The supernatant liquid was collected by filtering out the solid phase using 0.45µm filter paper and the residual concentration of dye present in supernatant was determined by UV spectrophotometer (Shanghai Mapada Instruments Co. Ltd., Model: UV 1100). The percentage removal of dye was calculated using the following relationship:

\[
\% \text{Removal of dye} = \frac{C_i - C_e}{C_i} \times 100 \tag{1}
\]

where, \(C_i\) and \(C_e\) are the initial and final (equilibrium) concentrations of dye (mg L\(^{-1}\)), respectively.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Effect of pH on dye mixture**
The pH effect for the adsorption of a dye mixture (10 mg L\(^{-1}\)) was studies between the pH of 1–6 on 0.4 g L\(^{-1}\) of adsorbent (Figure 2). The adsorption was higher (73.33% for GHER and 80% for GHE4BD) at lower pH and it decreases gradually to 0.1% for GHER and 13% for GHE4BD as the pH of the solution increases. As the dye mixture used were anionic in nature, it may adsorb on the adsorbent at acidic pH since the adsorbent surface was covered by cations (H\(^+\)) and at alkaline pH the reverse is possible; that is desorption as the amount of cations decreases.

**Effect of adsorbent dose on binary dye solution**
On varying the adsorbent dose at 0.4, 0.8, 1.2, 1.6 and 2 g L\(^{-1}\) for 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) of dye mixture, the removal of dyes increased to 47.15, 58.54, 68.11, 79.95, 85.65% and 56.02, 71.20, 80.89, 90.05, 93.19% for GHER and GHE4BD, respectively. As the amount of adsorbent increases, the fraction of available area for dye adsorption increases and hence more number of dye molecules freely adsorb on the surface of adsorbent.

**Effect of initial dye concentration on PJP**
The effect of initial concentration of dye mixture on adsorbent (1.6 g L\(^{-1}\)) in terms of quantity adsorbed was
studied as shown in Figure 3. When the concentration of dye mixture increases as 25, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150 mg L$^{-1}$, the quantity of adsorption increases as 15.33, 24.98, 32.91, 37.14, 45.63, 58.61 for GHER and GHE4BD, respectively. At low concentration, the number of available dye molecule are less; hence the fractional adsorption becomes independent of initial concentration whereas at high concentration the number of dye molecules are high for the fraction of available surface area and hence the adsorption of dye is dependent upon the initial dye concentration.

**Figure 3.** Effect of dye concentration on PJP, between 25-150 mg g$^{-1}$

**Effect of contact time**

The effect of contact time on the percentage removal of dye mixture was investigated at 50 mg L$^{-1}$ of initial dye concentration. The initial adsorption is so fast, but it becomes slow when it reached equilibrium. At equilibrium, the pattern of graphs were almost the same for GHER and GHE4BD; the percentage of dye removal were 79.95 and 90.32, respectively. The plots reveal that 50% adsorption takes place within 10 minute and it reaches the maximum percent removal of the dye after about 1 h of mixing. The rate of removal is high in the beginning due to large surface area available for the dyes. Later on the rate of dye uptake is limited, controlled and adsorbed to the interior from the exterior sites of the adsorbent particles (Figure 4).

**Figure 4.** Effect of contact time on equilibrium uptake of 50 mg L$^{-1}$

**Isotherm Models**

**Freundlich Isotherm model**

In order to examine the relationship between the quantity of dye adsorbed ($Q_e$) and equilibrium dye concentration ($C_e$), the equilibrium data were analyzed by Freundlich and Langmuir isotherms. The Freundlich adsorption model is the earliest known equation describing the adsorption process [21]. The amount of solute adsorbed, $Q_e$ is related to the equilibrium concentration, $C_e$ of solute in solution by following linear equation:

$$\log Q_e = \log K_F + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where, $K_F$ is a constant for the system, related to the bonding energy. The fraction value for $1/n$ is obtained for the adsorption of both GHER and GHE4BD as a mixture, indicates a normal Frendlich isotherm. The values of $K_F$ and $1/n$ are determined from the intercept and slope of the linear regressions of $\log Q_e$ vs. $\log C_e$ (Figure 5).where, $K_F$ is a constant for the system, related to the bonding energy. The fraction value for $1/n$ is obtained for the adsorption of both GHER and GHE4BD as a mixture, indicates a normal Frendlich isotherm. The values of $K_F$ and $1/n$ are determined from the intercept and slope of the linear regressions of $\log Q_e$ vs. $\log C_e$ (Figure 5).

**Figure 5.** Freundlich Isotherm model for dye mixture on PJP

**Langmuir isotherm model**

A monolayer adsorption is well explained by Langmuir [22] theory, can be represented by the following linear form:

$$\frac{C_e}{Q_e} = \frac{1}{Q_m K_L} + \frac{C_e}{Q_m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where, $Q_m$ is the monolayer adsorption capacity (mg g$^{-1}$) and $K_L$ is related to energy of adsorption in L mg$^{-1}$. Figure 6 shows the linear plot of $C_e/Q_e$ vs. $C_e$ for dye mixture on the adsorbents, the $Q_m$ and $K_L$ values were determined from intercept and slopes of the linear plots (Table 1). The separation factor or equilibrium parameter, $R_L$, is given by the following equation [23]:

$$R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_L C_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
Table 1. Isotherm and Kinetic parameters for the adsorption of GHER and GHE4BD at 30°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotherm models</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>GHER</th>
<th>GHE4BD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freundlich</td>
<td>1/n</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KF</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>19.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir</td>
<td>Qₑ (exp)</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>62.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kₑ</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>0.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-P</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td>0.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kₑ</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tempkin</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.926</td>
<td>8.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kₑ</td>
<td>17.486</td>
<td>11.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where, Cᵢ is the initial dye concentration (mg L⁻¹). The adsorption process is a function of Rₑ, may be described as Rₑ>1; unfavorable, 0<Rₑ<1; favorable, Rₑ=1; linear and Rₑ=0 for irreversibility. The favorability of adsorption process between 25-150 mg L⁻¹ is assumed from the calculated Rₑ values, which lie in between 0 to 1 (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>GHER</th>
<th>GHE4BD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.1770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.0971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.0669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.0510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.0412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.0346</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Rₑ values between 25-150mg L⁻¹

Tempkin isotherm contains a factor that explicitly takes place into account adsorbent-adsorbate interactions [24]. Tempkin isotherm has generally been used in the linearized and rearranged form as following:

\[ Qₑ = \beta \ln Kᵦ + \beta \ln Cₑ \]  

where, Kᵦ is an equilibrium constant of binding corresponding to the maximum energy of binding (mg L⁻¹) and the β is related to the heat of adsorption. A plot of Qₑ vs ln Cₑ, enables the determination of the isotherm constants Kᵦ and β (Table 1).

Redlich-Peterson(R-P) isotherm can be applied either in homogeneous or heterogeneous system due to the high versatility of the equation [25]. The linearized equation is as follows:

\[ \log \frac{Cₑ}{Qₑ} = \log K_R + \beta \log Cₑ \]  

where, β is the desorption constant and Kᵦ is R-P isotherm constant (g L⁻¹). The isotherm constants β, KR and the correlation coefficients, R² for the R-P isotherm were found by plot between log (Cₑ/Qₑ) vs log Cₑ (Figure 7), are listed in Table 1.

The obtained results of each model, for the adsorption of GHER and GHE4BD on PJP were presented in Table 1. The value of correlation coefficient, R², was used to compare the four isotherm models. As can be seen from the Table 1, R-P model showed a higher correlation coefficient. Therefore the adsorption process follows Freundlich at high concentration and Langmuir at low concentration of dye. Similar results were reported for
the adsorption of Orange-G and Methyl Violet dye onto bagasse fly ash [26].

**Kinetics of adsorption process**

**Lagergren pseudo first order kinetic model**

The pseudo-first-order kinetic model of Lagergren [27] is more suitable for lower concentration of solute and its linear form is:

$$\log(Q_t - Q_e) = \log Q_e - \frac{k_1}{2.303} t$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where, $Q_t$ (mg g$^{-1}$) is the amount of adsorbate adsorbed at time $t$ (min); $k_1$ (min$^{-1}$) is the rate constant of pseudo-first-order model. The values of $k_1$ and $Q_e$ for the adsorption of dye onto adsorbent were determined from the plot of $\log(Q_t - Q_e)$ vs. time (Table 1).

**Pseudo second order kinetic model**

Adsorption kinetics was explained by the pseudo-second-order model expressed as following linear equation:

$$\frac{t}{Q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 Q_e^2} + \frac{t}{Q_e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where, $k_2$ is the second order rate constant (g mg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$). The values of $k_2$ for dye mixture on adsorbent were calculated from the slopes of the respective linear plots of $t/Q_t$ vs. $t$ (Figure 8). As can be seen from the Table 1, the values of $R^2$ are closer to unity for pseudo second order model. Thus, adsorption of GHER and GHE4BD dyes onto adsorbent obey the pseudo second order model [28]. Furthermore, values of $Q_e$ (cal) calculated from pseudo second order model were good agreement with experimental values, $Q_e$ (exp) than those calculated form pseudo first order and Elovich model. Similar results were found for the adsorption of MB and GV onto sepiolit [29] and MB onto hazelnut shell [30].

[Figure 8. Second order kinetic model for 50 mg.L$^{-1}$ dyes on 1.6 g L$^{-1}$ PJP]

**Elovich and Intra particle diffusion models**

Elovich model suggest that the chemisorptions, i.e. a chemical reaction, is probably the mechanism that controls the rate of adsorption. This model can be applied with success in liquid solution and the linear form of the Elovich equation is:

$$Q_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \alpha \beta + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln t$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where, $\alpha$ (mg g$^{-1}$) is the initial sorption rate and $\beta$ (g mg$^{-1}$) is the desorption constant. The values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ can be calculated from the slope and intercept of the plot of $Q_t$ versus $\ln t$. As can be seen from Table 3, the higher value of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ for GHER, indicates the higher initial sorption rate, as well as desorption rate, may due to physical adsorption. But, the value of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ were lower for GHE4BD compared to the GHER, indicates the lower initial sorption rate as well as the desorption rate, which may due to chemical adsorption.

**TABLE 3. Thermodynamic parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dye</th>
<th>$\Delta H$ (kJmol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta S$ (kJmol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>298K</th>
<th>303K</th>
<th>308K</th>
<th>313K</th>
<th>318K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GHER</td>
<td>-80.52</td>
<td>252.99</td>
<td>5.128</td>
<td>3.863</td>
<td>2.598</td>
<td>1.333</td>
<td>0.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHE4BD</td>
<td>-89.84</td>
<td>277.02</td>
<td>7.288</td>
<td>5.903</td>
<td>4.518</td>
<td>3.133</td>
<td>1.747</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The adsorption mechanism for dye removal by adsorption using an adsorbent can be best explained by intra-particle diffusion model. Weber and Morris [31] proposed an equation for intra-particle diffusion of adsorbate on adsorbent is as follows:

$$Q_t = k_d t^{1/2} + C_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where, $Q_t$ (mg g$^{-1}$) is the quantity of dye adsorbed at time $t$ and $k_d$ (mg min$^{-1/2}$ g$^{-1}$) is intra-particle diffusion constant (Table 1). A plot of $Q_t$ versus $t^{1/2}$ gives $k_d$, the slope and $C_i$, the intercept (Figure 9). Value of $C_i$ gives an idea of the thickness of boundary layer. The $C_i$ value for GHER and GHE4BD is almost equal, indicates the thickness is same, but $k_d$ value was higher for GHE4BD than for GHER, which reveals that the diffusion is higher for GHE4BD than GHER. Also it is evident from the figure, there are two straight lines are obtained, in which first line for surface adsorption and the second line is for the adsorption due to diffusion into the pores.

[Figure 9. Intra particle diffusion model for mixture of dyes on adsorbent]

**Effect of Temperature for the Binary mixtures on PJP**

The effect of temperature for the adsorption of GHER and GHE4BD as a mixture is studied at 298, 303, 308,
313, 318 and 323K with the help of the following relations:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{Q_{em}}{C_e} \right) = \frac{\Delta S^o}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^o}{RT}
\]

(11)

\[
\Delta G^o = \Delta H^o - T \Delta S^o
\]

(12)

where, \(m\) is the dose of adsorbent in mg L\(^{-1}\), \(C_e\) is the equilibrium concentration in mg L\(^{-1}\) and \(Q_e\) is the amount of adsorption in mg g\(^{-1}\) at equilibrium. \(R\) is the gas constant (8.314 J K\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\)) and \(T\) is the temperature (K). Thermodynamic parameters such as \(\Delta H^o\), \(\Delta S^o\) and \(\Delta G^o\) are change in enthalpy (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)), change in entropy (J K\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\)) and change in free energy (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) respectively, were determined from the slope (-\(\Delta H^o/R\)) and intercept (\(\Delta S^o/R\)) of the plots of \(\ln (Q_{em}/C_e)\) vs \(1/T\) (Table 3).

As can be seen from the table, the enthalpy change (\(\Delta H^o\)) and the entropy change (\(\Delta S^o\)) are negative in value for both GHER and GHE4BD indicates the adsorption process is exothermic in nature and the randomness of solute molecule decreases during adsorption. Also, the free energy change is highly negative at lower temperature indicates the feasibility of adsorption, but at higher temperature, the feasibility of the adsorption process becomes unfavorable as the free energy change becomes positive and lower negative for GHER and GHE4BD respectively. The quantity of adsorption decreases from 28.26 to 13.45 mg g\(^{-1}\) and 30.02 to 17.75 mg g\(^{-1}\) for GHER and GHE4BD respectively, as the temperature increases from 298 to 323K, (Figure 10). This may be explained, as the temperature increases the motion of dye molecules increases and may not be available near the adsorbent; hence adsorption decreases [32]. Therefore, all the thermodynamic factors such as change in enthalpy (\(\Delta H^o\)), change in entropy (\(\Delta S^o\)) and change in free energy (\(\Delta G^o\)) favors the adsorption of dyes on the adsorbent.

The idea of energy barrier is the key strength to describe the reaction nature, which can be determined by linear form of Arrhenius equation. It relates the rate of the reaction and temperature, given as [33]:

\[
\ln k = \ln A - \frac{E_a}{RT}
\]

(13)

where, \(k\) is the rate constant (form pseudo second order kinetics); \(E_a\), is activation energy (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)); \(A\), is the Arrhenius factor (g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)); \(R\), is the gas constant and \(T\) is the temperature (K). The activation energy of the adsorption process was estimated from the slope of the plot \(\ln k\) vs \(1/T\). It was found to be -16.569 and -20.710 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for GHER and GHE4BD respectively. Since the activation energy barrier was negative in quantity, the adsorption is favorable.

Therefore, all the results such as, exothermic nature, decreasing randomness of solute, negative free energy of reaction and negative activation energy favors the adsorption process.

**FT-IR and SEM micrography**

The various functional groups present on the PJP before adsorption and after adsorption of dye mixture were studied by Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy within 400-4000 cm\(^{-1}\) range, which are given in Table 4. The peak at 1704 cm\(^{-1}\) is characteristic for the presence of C=O (str), which is lowered to 1680 cm\(^{-1}\) after the adsorption of dyes, because the dye molecule may have a conjugation with C=O bond. The finger print region of PJP have the peaks at 769 cm\(^{-1}\); A, is the intercept (\(\Delta S^o/R\)) of the plots of \(\ln (Q_{em}/C_e)\) vs \(1/T\) (Table 3).

The Scanning Electron Micrography was used to analyze the surface texture of PJP. Figure 12a indicates the presence of porous texture on PJP and 12b shows the different surface texture after adsorption of dye mixture on PJP.

![Figure 10](image1.jpg)
**Figure 10.** Effect of temperature of dye mixture on equilibrium uptake

![Figure 11](image2.jpg)
**Figure 11.** FT-IR spectra for a) PJP and b) dye loaded PJP
CONCLUSION

The potential of Prosopis juliflora pods have been tested for the removal of GHER and GHE4BD as a binary mixture in aqueous solution. The Langmuir monolayer adsorption capacity was found to be 50.00 and 62.50 mg g⁻¹ for GHER and GHE4BD, respectively. Adsorption process follows Freundlich at high concentration and Langmuir at low concentration of dye according to Redlich-Peterson isotherm. The pseudo second order kinetics was good agreement with dye mixtures. All thermodynamic factors: change in enthalpy (ΔH°), change in free energy (ΔG°), change in entropy (ΔS°) and activation energy were negative in magnitude, favors the adsorption process at low temperature. As the Prosopis juliflora pods, is easily available low cost adsorbent, which may be useful for local dyeing industries.
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